1 2 3 4 5	MATTHEW D. ZINN (State Bar No. 21453) AARON M. STANTON (State Bar No. 312) SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLF 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 Zinn@smwlaw.com Stanton@smwlaw.com	2530)		
6 7 8 9 10	THOMAS E. BOZE, County Counsel (State Bar No. 209790) STANISLAUS COUNTY COUNSEL 1010 Tenth Street, Suite 6400 Modesto, California 95354 Telephone: (209) 525-6376 Facsimile: (209) 525-4473 Bozet@stancounty.com Attorneys for Defendant County of Stanisla	us		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION			
L4				
15	NICHOLAS HONCHARIW, Trustee, Honchariw Family Trust,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00801-DAD-SKO		
l6 l7	Plaintiff, v. COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, Defendant.	SECOND STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT; ORDER		
18				
19 20		(Doc. 13)		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
<i>,</i> ×	11			

## Case 1:21-cv-00801-DAD-SKO Document 14 Filed 11/24/21 Page 2 of 3

1	WHEREAS, on May 17, 2021, Defendant County of Stanislaus filed a notice of removal			
2	in this Court and in the Stanislaus County Superior Court following Plaintiff Nicholas			
3	Honchariw's filing of a Verified Supplemental and Amended Complaint ("Supplemental			
4	Complaint") in state court (Dkt. 1, Ex. 36);			
5	WHEREAS, shortly thereafter, Honchariw informed the County that he intended to file			
6	motion to remand the case to state court under 28 U.S.C. § 1447;			
7	WHEREAS, on May 25, 2021, the Court entered an order pursuant to a stipulation of the			
8	parties making the County's responsive pleading due seven days after issuance by the Court of			
9	an order denying the motion to remand (Dkt. 5);			
10	WHEREAS, on November 17, 2021, the Court issued an order denying the motion to			
11	remand (Dkt. 12);			
12	WHEREAS, the deadline for the County's responsive pleading is currently November 24			
13	2021; and			
14	WHEREAS, the County's lead counsel has a planned vacation scheduled for the week of			
15	November 24;			
16	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate as follows and accordingly request that			
17	the Court enter the [Proposed] Order set forth below:			
18	1. The time for the County to file its motion to dismiss or other responsive pleading			
19	shall be extended to December 3, 2021.			
20	2. The County shall notice the hearing on its motion to dismiss for February 1, 2022.			
21	3. The County's reply brief shall be due January 25, 2022, and Honchariw's			
22	opposition brief shall be due January 14, 2022.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28

## Case 1:21-cv-00801-DAD-SKO Document 14 Filed 11/24/21 Page 3 of 3

1	DATED: November 22, 2021	SHU	TE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
2			
3		By:	/s/ Matthew D. Zinn
4			MATTHEW D. ZINN AARON M. STANTON
5			
6			Attorneys for Defendant County of Stanislaus
7	DATED: November 22, 2021	NIC	HOLAS HONCHARIW, TRUSTEE,
8	DATED. November 22, 2021		NCHARIW FAMILY TRUST
9			
10		By:	/s/ Nicholas Honchariw
11			NICHOLAS HONCHARIW
12			Attorney for Plaintiff
13			
14		0	RDER
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	14, 2022.		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: <b>November 24, 2021</b>		
23	Dated		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24			
25	1 The parties are reminded that pursuant to Co.	noral O	order No. 617 addressing the public health emergency posed
26	The parties are reminded that pursuant to General Order No. 617, addressing the public health emergency posed by the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak, all civil motions set for hearing before District Judge Dale A.		
27	<b>Drozd will be decided on the papers</b> . ( <i>See</i> Doc. 7.) The parties should not contact Judge Drozd's chambers to inquire about whether a hearing on a pending motion will be held. If the court determines that a hearing is		
28	necessary, the court will issue an order to speci	ally set	the motion for hearing by telephone or video conference.
- 1			.5